

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Diane M. Winters**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **Kiddwyn@hotmail.com**
Address Line 1: **1006 North Grand**
Address Line 2:
City: **Sedalia** State: **MO**
Zip Code: **65301** Postal Code:
Submission Type: **7** Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED**
Subject:
DA Number:
Exparte Late Filed:
File Number:
Date Submission: **1/11/98 21:07:37** Date Filed:
Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released:
Date Accepted:
Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998111809887**

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

INTERNET FILING

96 - 45

1/12/98

This is sent as a protest to the proposed per-minute charge for Internet service. I find it ironic that as the government sets in motion a phone tax to provide schools with Internet access, they would at the same time make the cost of Internet access out of reach for a lot of privet citizens, by allowing the phone companies to asses these charges. I utilize my computer and the Internet as

my link to the knowledge, government, education and the world. I am not a small business or entrepreneur merely a private tax paying and voting citizen who would consider this a personnel assault on my right to information access, making the cost prohibitive.

Diane M. Winters
Kiddwyn@hotmail.com

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** ☐ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **G. Thomas Utech II**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **tomii@iland.net**
Address Line 1: **839 Marshall Dr.**
Address Line 2:
City: **Whiteman AFB** State: **MO** ☐
Zip Code: **65305** Postal Code: **1143**
Submission Type: **7** ☐ Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** ☐ Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED** ☐
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: **1/11/98 20:54:25** Date Filed: Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998111916694**

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

INTERNET FILING

96 - 45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

PLEASE vote NO on these proceedings!!!!!!

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** ☒ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Robert Endter**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **rob@2aces.com**
Address Line 1: **P.O. Box 191**
Address Line 2:
City: **Knob Noster** State: **MO** ☒
Zip Code: **65336** Postal Code:
Submission Type: **7** ☒ Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** ☒ Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED** ☒
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: **1/11/98 20:51:23** Date Filed: Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998111764775**

DOCKET FILE **RECEIVED**

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

I do not believe that 96-45 would benefit anyone other than the large phone companies.

This is another attempt at creating the monopoly that ATT used to enjoy.

I also believe that MANY internet users would be forced to quit.

PLEASE reconsider this self-serving motion by the phone companies.

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE ☒ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: Robert Holder
Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email: bomber_bob@juno.com
Address Line 1: 1675 Hillcrest Ct
Address Line 2:
City: Marion State: IA ☒
Zip Code: 52302 Postal Code:
Submission Type: 7 ☒ Submission Status: ACCEPTED ☒ Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED ☒
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: 1/11/98 20:41:36 Date Filed: Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # 1998111939763

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

INTERNET FILING

96-45
1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

I do not agree with having to pay per minute fees for internet access. I think it matters whether I am on the phone talking to someone, or on line communicating with someone via the internet or E mail. If per minute charges were instituted, I wouldn't be able to afford to send what I am sending now. Also, I think it would severely cut all forms of commerce over the internet, I do a lot of buying via internet, and a lot of businesses that exist only because they are available worldwide over the internet will cease to exist. The internet is growing as fast as it is only because of it's low cost. Anyone with a computer can hook in for a small fee of 20 bucks or so a month. We already pay for the phone service, there is no need for the phone companies to get greedy and start trying to charge by the minute. America on Line used to charge by the minute, they had to change their billing practices to stay in business. I have two phone lines, one for internet, one for normal phone use. If you allow my local phone company to start charging by the minute, I will stop using the internet, and drop the extra phone line. So they get no extra money out of me, and I cut my bills to them by 50%. Do you realize what you are doing?

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** ☐ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **M.L. Jenkins**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **ideas@iland.net**
Address Line 1: **P.O. Box 1056**
Address Line 2:
City: **Sedalia** State: **MO**
Zip Code: **65301** Postal Code: **1056**
Submission Type: **7** Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED**
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: **1/11/98 19:41:50** Date Filed: Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998111152648**

RECEIVED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

I am opposed to this proposal.
Do not allow access charges for internet usage.

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** ☐ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Christopher B Stewart**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **cbstew@iland.net**
Address Line 1: **780 Mitchell Ave #2121**
Address Line 2:
City: **Whiteman AFB** State: **MO** ☐
Zip Code: **65305** Postal Code:
Submission Type: **7** ☐ Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** ☐ Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED** ☐
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: **1/11/98 18:29:39** Date Filed: Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998111716917**

RECEIVED
DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

INTERNET FILING

96-45
1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

As I see it, here's the issue: Local phone companies in general want the ISP business to themselves. They're claim that all this Internet use is overloading their systems -- while simultaneously running to open their own ISPs (which of course would NOT be subject to these charges). Obviously they think the ISP business is worth pursuing, they just want to price everyone else out of the market.

This would be unfair to people like myself who would be subject to these per-minute charges. The local phone company's Internet service doesn't even cover the remote location I am in... that is unless I want to pay their communication surcharge. I am only an E-2 in the Air Force, and I don't get paid a whole lot of money for what I do. The internet is my primary communication with family back home. If I can't get a connection at a fair price to me, I would end up practically cutting off my family.

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE

Record 1 of 1

Applicant Name: Steven C. Lengfellner

Proceeding Name: 96-45

Author Name:

Lawfirm Name:

Contact Name: applicant_name

Contact Email: slengfel@iland.net

Address Line 1: 711 McConnell Lane

Address Line 2:

City: WAFB

State: MO

Zip Code: 65305 Postal Code: 0000

Submission Type: 7

Submission Status: ACCEPTED

Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED

Subject:

DA Number:

Exparte Late Filed:

File Number:

Date Submission: 1/11/98 17:47:32

Date Filed:

Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00

Date Released:

Date Accepted:

Date Disseminated:

Confirmation # 1998111845240

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

INTERNET FILING

96 - 45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

I am against the proposed changes to the current universal services regulations, specifically any changes allowing additional fees to be levied on phone users and/or ISPs.

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

I don't see how phone company's can claim the internet user or his ISP are tying up system resources and at the same time simultaneously running to open their own ISPs (which of course would NOT be subject to these charges). Obviously they think the ISP business is worth pursuing, they just want to price everyone else out of the market. I believe local phone companies in general want the ISP business to themselves and are once again trying to use the regulation to ensure they win.

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** ☒ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Cory Earl Wikel**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **wikel@iland.net**
Address Line 1: **121A Meadow LN.**
Address Line 2:
City: **Warrensburg** State: **MO**
Zip Code: **64093** Postal Code:
Submission Type: **7** Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED**
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: **1/11/98 17:38:38** Date Filed: Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998111136998**

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

The assesment of charge for internet usage and a per minute basis is simply outrageous! Internet users cannot and will not stand-by and watch the government that has no idea of the repercussions of such an act, impose a fee upon computer users. Is it not enough that people with more than one phone line have to pay charges to help schools with internet access. If the phone companies cannot handle the influx of internet usage, then why are they starting thier own internet businesses? I am sure I speak for most of the people of the United States, when I say butt-out of our lives! Find something/someone else to tax! We are sick and tired of watching "our" hard earned dollars being wasted by this government.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: Philip B. Hetos
Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email:
Address Line 1: pbhkjh@iland.net
Address Line 2:
City: WAFB State: MO
Zip Code: 65305 Postal Code:
Submission Type: 7 Submission Status: ACCEPTED Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: File Number:
Date Submission: 1/11/98 17:32:44 Date Filed: Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # 1998111048660

RECEIVED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

INTERNET FILING

96-45
1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

I am appalled by the phone co. wanting to in my eyes get there already profit dripping hands on something that we as the public are already paying them for. Do they or do they not ask us for money to install a separate line, do we or do we not pay for (on a monthly basis) their service. I have e-mailed everyone I know and told them to do the same to ask you to tell the profit co. I mean the Phone Co. where to get off the merry-go-round that they have jumped on to try to make, or take some more of our money. As for me and my friends we will QUIT!, interneting all-together, there are enough games out there to keep us busy into the NEXT millennium.

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** ☒ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Dennis Krug**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **dkrug@iland.net**
Address Line 1: **121 Sunset Village**
Address Line 2:
City: **Sedalia** State: **MO** ☒
Zip Code: **65301** Postal Code: **1580**
Submission Type: **7** ☒ Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** ☒ Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED** ☒
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: **1/11/98 16:48:49** Date Filed: Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998111458696**

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

I do not believe that a per minute charge would be productive. All it would accomplish is to force the average person (like myself) to quit using the internet, because of the high cost. This whole thing sounds like a big scam by the phone companies so they can get richer than they already are. The added usage of thier phone lines created by ISPs also creates more business for them.

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** ☒ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Matt Anderson**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name: **-**
Lawfirm Name: **-**
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **spork69**
Address Line 1: **Route 2 Box 236A**
Address Line 2: **-**
City: **Lincoln** State: **MO** ☒
Zip Code: **65338** Postal Code: **9553**
Submission Type: **7** ☒ Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** ☒ Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED** ☒
Subject: **-**
DA Number: **-** Exparte Late Filed: ☐ File Number: **-**
Date Submission: **1/11/98 15:38:09** Date Filed: **-** Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released: **-** Date Accepted: **-** Date Disseminated: **-**
Confirmation # **1998111180564**

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

I have been informed by my ISP that the FCC plans to impose per minute fees on internet access. If this goes through I will be forced to discontinue my sbbscription to internet access and I will also disconnect my telephone service. The online community is enraged over this and I am sure that many people will end up doing just as I will. Good day.

Matt Anderson
spork69@iland.net

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE ☐ Record 1 of 1

Applicant Name: Jim M. Skinner

Proceeding Name: 96-45

Author Name:

DOCKET FILE RECEIVED

Lawfirm Name:

Contact Name: applicant_name

Contact Email: jim@iland.net

Address Line 1: 831 McGuire St

Address Line 2:

City: Whiteman AFB

State: MO ☐

Zip Code: 65305 Postal Code: 0000

Submission Type: 7 ☐

Submission Status: ACCEPTED ☐

Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED ☐

Subject:

DA Number:

Exparte Late Filed: ☐

File Number:

Date Submission: 1/11/98 15:12:28

Date Filed:

Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00

Date Released:

Date Accepted:

Date Disseminated:

Confirmation # 1998111515883

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

As I see it, here's the issue: Local phone companies in general want the ISP business to themselves. They're claim that all this Internet use is overloading their systems -- while simultaneously running to open their own ISPs (which of course would NOT be subject to these charges). Obviously they think the ISP business is worth pursuing, they just want to price everyone else out of the market.

If these access charges go through, most users Internet access will cost significantly more -- either directly, by the telephone company's charging us more for our phone line(s), or indirectly, by ISPs raising their rates in order to cover their additional costs.

Want some scary numbers? Long distance companies pay \$.06/min access charges to local telephone companies. If similar charges were placed on Internet calls, users who spend an average of 60 minutes per day on-line would see their monthly bill go up ~\$108/month. That's not small change.

I and Everyone I know Oppose this Proposition and also those persons and organizations who vote for this proposal. I also Feel that a Mass E-Mail needs to be sent out with ALL names organizations and companies who would even consider this proposal as an option. There's already enough confusion with all of the long distance carriers out there with the difference in prices etc. The Internet as we know it would would cease for the majority of middle income families. A fee of this much would cause me and my family to be forced to drop internet connection as I'm sure it would for Thousands of others, if not millions.

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** ☒ Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **Michael Hawks**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **hawksm@iland.net**
Address Line 1: **702 Westover**
Address Line 2:
City: **WAFB** State: **MO** ☒
Zip Code: **65305** Postal Code:
Submission Type: **7** ☒ Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** ☒ Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED** ☒
Subject:
DA Number: Ex parte Late Filed: ☐ File Number:
Date Submission: **1/11/98 14:37:46** Date Filed: Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998111461535**

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

INTERNET FILING

96-45

1/12/98